### **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

#### **Program and Certification**

1. What program did you complete?

Date: 9/21/2015

As the options vary by program, see the separate report for the responses.

4. Engage students in inquiry,

#### **Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning**

2. Use knowledge of students'

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each	_	ns and prio them in lea	•	nces to	3. Conne the real	ect classroo world	om learni	ng to	problem solving, and reflection t promote their critical thinking			
of the following as a teacher?	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	wide
	22	100.0%	1175	100.0%	22	100.0%	1171	99.7%	22	100.0%	1172	99.7%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	11	0.9%	0	0.0%	8	0.7%	0	0.0%	4	0.3%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	38	3.2%	1	4.5%	58	5.0%	0	0.0%	53	4.5%
3 = Adequately	2	9.1%	202	17.2%	2	9.1%	256	21.9%	1	4.5%	185	15.8%
4 = Well	8	36.4%	424	36.1%	5	22.7%	386	33.0%	9	40.9%	394	33.6%
5 = Very well	12	54.5%	500	42.6%	14	63.6%	463	39.5%	12	54.5%	536	45.7%
Mean : SD	4.45	0.67	4.16	0.89	4.45	0.86	4.06	0.93	4.50	0.60	4.20	0.89

5. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

6. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

	_							
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
	22	100.0%	1175	100.0%	22	100.0%	1170	99.6%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	8	0.7%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	63	5.4%	0	0.0%	90	7.7%
3 = Adequately	6	27.3%	247	21.0%	5	22.7%	276	23.6%
4 = Well	5	22.7%	385	32.8%	10	45.5%	398	34.0%
5 = Very well	11	50.0%	474	40.3%	7	31.8%	398	34.0%
Mean : S	<b>SD</b> 4.23	0.87	4.07	0.93	4.09	0.75	3.93	0.97

#### Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each	group v	group work as well as independent re- learning al					aintain a environn		<ol><li>Create a productive learning environment with high expectation for all students</li></ol>					
of the following as a teacher?	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		gram State		ewide	Program		Statewide	
	22	100.0%	1172	99.7%	22	100.0%	1172	99.7%	22	100.0%	1173	99.8%		
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%		
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	40	3.4%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	0	0.0%	41	3.5%		
3 = Adequately	3	13.6%	182	15.5%	1	4.5%	169	14.4%	2	9.1%	175	14.9%		
4 = Well	5	22.7%	367	31.3%	5	22.7%	323	27.6%	6	27.3%	372	31.7%		
5 = Very well	14	63.6%	578	49.3%	16	72.7%	649	55.4%	14	63.6%	582	49.6%		
Mean :	<b>SD</b> 4.50	0.74	4.26	0.87	4.68	0.57	4.35	0.84	4.55	0.67	4.27	0.86		

#### **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

### **Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning**

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	10. Develop curriculum to teach content standards effectively					effective ir es to teach and skills			materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students			
	Pro	gram	Statewide		Program		State	Statewide		Program		wide
	21	95.5%	1149	97.8%	21	95.5%	1148	97.7%	21	95.5%	1145	97.4%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	12	1.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.7%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	77	6.7%	0	0.0%	49	4.3%	0	0.0%	57	5.0%
3 = Adequately	4	19.0%	221	19.2%	2	9.5%	183	15.9%	3	14.3%	239	20.9%
4 = Well	4	19.0%	362	31.5%	6	28.6%	376	32.8%	7	33.3%	375	32.8%
5 = Very well	13	61.9%	474	41.3%	13	61.9%	528	46.0%	11	52.4%	466	40.7%
Mean:	<b>5D</b> 4.43	0.81	4.05	0.99	4.52	0.68	4.18	0.92	4.38	0.74	4.08	0.93

### Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

13. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

14. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

12. Select, adapt, and develop

	Prog	ram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide
	21	95.5%	1147	97.6%	2:	95.5%	1145	97.4%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	5	0.4%		0.0%	4	0.3%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	42	3.7%		0.0%	49	4.3%
3 = Adequately	1	4.8%	216	18.8%		4.8%	218	19.0%
4 = Well	6	28.6%	398	34.7%		38.1%	408	35.6%
5 = Very well	14	66.7%	486	42.4%	1:	57.1%	466	40.7%
Mean : SD	4.62	0.59	4.15	0.88	4.5	0.60	4.12	0.89

#### **Assessing Students for Learning**

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

Date: 9/21/2015

15. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan,

16. Involve all students in selfassessment, goal setting, and monitoring progress

17. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning

	differentiate, and modify instruction		monitori	ng progres	ss		students to guide their lear			ing		
	Prog	Program		wide	Program		am State		Program		Statewide	
	21	95.5%	1148	97.7%	21	95.5%	1149	97.8%	21	95.5%	1149	97.8%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	12	1.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.6%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	78	6.8%	0	0.0%	86	7.5%	1	4.8%	62	5.4%
3 = Adequately	6	28.6%	223	19.4%	7	33.3%	297	25.8%	3	14.3%	249	21.7%
4 = Well	5	23.8%	408	35.5%	9	42.9%	385	33.5%	9	42.9%	379	33.0%
5 = Very well	10	47.6%	428	37.3%	5	23.8%	369	32.1%	8	38.1%	452	39.3%
Mean : SD	4.19	0.87	4.01	0.96	3.90	0.77	3.88	0.98	4.14	0.85	4.05	0.94

#### **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

#### **Developing as a Professional Educator**

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

- 18. Evaluate the effects of your actions on student learning and modify plans accordingly
- 19. Work with families to better understand students and to support their learning
- 20. Work with colleagues to improve instruction

	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
	Pro	gram	State	Statewide		gram	Statewid		vide Progra		ram Statewide	
	20	90.9%	1132	96.3%	20	90.9%	1132	96.3%	20	90.9%	1130	96.2%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	46	4.1%	0	0.0%	15	1.3%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	43	3.8%	2	10.0%	148	13.1%	0	0.0%	66	5.8%
3 = Adequately	3	15.0%	216	19.1%	5	25.0%	364	32.2%	3	15.0%	219	19.4%
4 = Well	6	30.0%	401	35.4%	5	25.0%	299	26.4%	5	25.0%	349	30.9%
5 = Very well	11	55.0%	465	41.1%	8	40.0%	275	24.3%	12	60.0%	481	42.6%
Mea	an: SD 4.40	0.75	4.13	0.89	3.95	1.05	3.54	1.11	4.45	0.76	4.08	0.98

#### **Content for Single Subjects**

How well did your teacher proparation program propare you to do each

Date: 9/21/2015

- 21. Teach my content area according to California academic content standards in my grade(s)
- 22. Contribute to students' reading skills including comprehension in my subject area

now well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each	academic co	ontent s	itanuanus i	iii iiiy gra	ue(s)	comprehension in my subject area				
of the following as a teacher?	_	Prog	ram	Statewide		Prog	Program		wide	
		20	90.9%	1132	96.3%	20	90.9%	1133	96.4%	
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	18	1.6%	0	0.0%	16	1.4%	
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	46	4.1%	0	0.0%	49	4.3%	
3 = Adequately		1	5.0%	176	15.5%	2	10.0%	254	22.4%	
4 = Well		4	20.0%	323	28.5%	6	30.0%	376	33.2%	
5 = Very well		15	75.0%	569	50.3%	12	60.0%	438	38.7%	
	1ean : SD	4.70	0.57	4.22	0.96	4.50	0.69	4.03	0.95	

- 23. Enable students to acquire subject matter skills that contribute to future success in life, college, and career
- 24. Anticipate and address the needs of students who are at risk of dropping out

	-	Prog	ram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
		20	90.9%	1132	96.3%	20	90.9%	1132	96.3%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	13	1.1%	0	0.0%	67	5.9%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	45	4.0%	0	0.0%	138	12.2%
3 = Adequately		2	10.0%	225	19.9%	6	30.0%	352	31.1%
4 = Well		6	30.0%	396	35.0%	6	30.0%	299	26.4%
5 = Very well		12	60.0%	453	40.0%	8	40.0%	276	24.4%
Me	ean:SD 4	.50	0.69	4.09	0.92	4.10	0.85	3.51	1.16

## **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

## **Field Experiences**

25. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation (check all that apply):		gram	State	wide
preparation (check all that apply):	20	90.9%	1116	95.0%
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	20	90.9%	974	82.9%
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses				
for my credential	0	0.0%	170	14.5%
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	1	4.5%	49	4.2%

26. How often did preparation program faculty or				
staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?	Prog	<b>jram</b>	State	wide
means about your teaching practice:	20	90.9%	1120	95.3%
1 = Less than once per month	0	0.0%	37	3.3%
2 = Once per month	2	10.0%	101	9.0%
3 = Twice per month	1	5.0%	177	15.8%
4 = Once per week	8	40.0%	346	30.9%
5 = 2-3 times per week	7	35.0%	320	28.6%
6 = Daily	2	10.0%	139	12.4%
Mean : SD	4.30	1.08	4.10	1.26

	27. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and	Pro	gram	State	ewide
	provide feedback during your clinical practice?	20	90.9%	1119	95.2%
1 =	Once or twice	0	0.0%	35	3.1%
2 =	3-5 times	3	15.0%	242	21.6%
3 =	6-10 times	6	30.0%	470	42.0%
4 =	11-15 times	6	30.0%	214	19.1%
5 =	16-20 times	3	15.0%	83	7.4%
6 =	More than 20 times	2	10.0%	75	6.7%
	Mean : S	<b>3</b> .75	1.21	3.26	1.17

28. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program	Prog	gram	State	ewide
coursework	20	90.9%	1122	95.5%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	17	1.5%
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%	29	2.6%
3 = Neutral	1	5.0%	81	7.2%
4 = Agree	6	30.0%	435	38.8%
5 = Strongly Agree	13	65.0%	560	49.9%
Mean : SD	4.60	0.60	4.33	0.84

		gram	Statewide		
29. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):	20	90.9%	1085	92.3%	
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to					
offer feedback	19	86.4%	871	74.1%	
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	17	77.3%	850	72.3%	
Modeled effective practices	17	77.3%	843	71.7%	
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	19	86.4%	790	67.2%	
Offered useful strategies and advice about my					
teaching	19	86.4%	930	79.1%	
Helped me reflect on my practice	18	81.8%	853	72.6%	
Helped me to solve teaching problems	19	86.4%	864	73.5%	
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support					
for field-based assignments	18	81.8%	815	69.4%	

Date: 9/21/2015

	30. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?	20	90.9%	1109	94.4%
1 =	Less than 100 hours	0	0.0%	16	1.6%
2 =	100 - 299 hours	1	5.0%	164	16.4%
3 =	300 - 599 hours	4	20.0%	323	32.3%
4 =	600 - 799 hours	7	35.0%	238	23.8%
5 =	800 hours or more	8	40.0%	259	25.9%
	This question does not apply to me because I did not do any student teaching.**	0	_	109	_
	Mean : SD	4.10	0.91	3.56	1.09

### **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

### Questions #31 and #32 apply only to interns.

<ol> <li>Approximately how much time did you spend in an internship placement as teacher of record as part of</li> </ol>	Pro	gram	State	ewide
your fieldwork?	20	90.9%	1114	94.8%
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	100.0%	10	4.6%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	0	0.0%	22	10.1%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	0	0.0%	45	20.7%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	0	0.0%	33	15.2%
5 = 800 hours or more	0	0.0%	107	49.3%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	19	-	897	-
Mean : SD	1.00	?	3.94	1.23

	32. <b>If you served in an internship placement</b> , how often did your assigned mentor observe your				
	classroom instruction and provide feedback and	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	assistance during your clinical practice?	20	90.9%	1104	94.0%
1 =	Once or twice	1	100.0%	23	11.9%
2 =	3-5 times	0	0.0%	37	19.1%
3 =	6-10 times	0	0.0%	50	25.8%
4 =	= 11-15 times	0	0.0%	29	14.9%
5 =	16-20 times	0	0.0%	21	10.8%
6 =	More than 20 times	0	0.0%	34	17.5%
	Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	19	-	910	-
	Mean : SD	1.00	7	3.46	1.62

<ol> <li>Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or</li> </ol>	Prog	<b>jram</b>	State	ewide
tools you needed to become a teacher?	20	90.9%	1120	95.3%
1 = Not at all effective	0	0.0%	20	1.8%
2 = Somewhat effective	0	0.0%	126	11.3%
3 = Effective	5	25.0%	390	34.8%
4 = Very effective	15	75.0%	584	52.1%
Mean : SD	3.75	0.44	3.37	0.75

# Demographics

	Program		Program		State	wide
34. Are you Hispanic or Latino?	19	86.4%	1101	93.7%		
No, not Hispanic or Latino	*		827	75.1%		
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	*		274	24.9%		

Date: 9/21/2015

<sup>\*</sup> Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

## **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

	Prog	gram	Statewide	
35. What is your race? Mark all that apply	17	77.3%	977	83.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*		41	3.5%
Chinese	*		51	4.3%
Japanese	*		26	2.2%
Korean	*		27	2.3%
Vietnamese	*		12	1.0%
Asian Indian	*		14	1.2%
Laotian	*		5	0.4%
Cambodian	*		5	0.4%
Filipino	*		36	3.1%
Hmong	*		4	0.3%
Other Asian	*		24	2.0%
Black or African American	*		41	3.5%
Hawaiian	*		5	0.4%
Guamanian	*		2	0.2%
Samoan	*		3	0.3%
Tahitian	*		2	0.2%
Other Pacific Islander	*		5	0.4%
White	11	50.0%	797	67.8%

Date: 9/21/2015

	Program		Statewide	
36. What is your gender?	20	90.9%	1105	94.0%
Female	15	75.0%	679	61.4%
Male	*		402	36.4%
Decline to state	*		24	2.2%

\* Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and of Respo	
Program:	77	22	28.6 %
Statewide:	3615	1175	32.5 %

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.