UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	strengtl	knowledge on them in lea	experie		2. Conn the real	ect classro world	om learn	ing to	problem	ge students n solving, an e their critic	nd reflect	ion t
	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Pro	gram	State	wid
	4	100.0%	500	100.0%	4	100.0%	500	100.0%	4	100.0%	500	100
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%	15	3.0%	0	0.0%	21	4
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	102	20.4%	0	0.0%	94	18.8%	1	25.0%	106	21

0

3

4.50

4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

0.0%

1.00

75.0%

139

252

4.27

27.8%

50.4%

0.84

5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

0.50

1 25.0%

3 75.0%

4.75

166

225

4.20

33.2%

45.0%

0.85

0

3

4.50

0.0%

75.0%

1.00

		J				J		J	
		Program		Statewide		Pro	gram	State	wide
		4	100.0%	500	100.0%	4	100.0%	499	99.8%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	21	4.2%	0	0.0%	13	2.6%
3 = Adequately		1	25.0%	104	20.8%	1	25.0%	74	14.8%
4 = Well		0	0.0%	165	33.0%	1	25.0%	136	27.3%
5 = Very well		3	75.0%	209	41.8%	2	50.0%	275	55.1%
	Mean : SD	4.50	1.00	4.12	0.89	4.25	0.96	4.34	0.84

Mean : SD

4 = Well

5 = Very well

Date: 9/17/2015

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?		ge student vork as wel				olish and m ful learning ents				e a produc ment with udents		_	interven matched	nt behavio ing early u I to studen and behav	sing strat t's curren	tegies nt
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	4	100.0%	498	99.6%	4	100.0%	497	99.4%	4	100.0%	500	100.0%	4	100.0%	500	100.0%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	14	2.8%	0	0.0%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%	24	4.8%
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	90	18.1%	1	25.0%	67	13.5%	1	25.0%	75	15.0%	1	25.0%	98	19.6%
4 = Well	1	25.0%	167	33.5%	0	0.0%	144	29.0%	1	25.0%	165	33.0%	0	0.0%	144	28.8%
5 = Very well	2	50.0%	225	45.2%	3	75.0%	279	56.1%	2	50.0%	254	50.8%	3	75.0%	233	46.6%
Mean : SD	4.25	0.96	4.20	0.86	4.50	1.00	4.39	0.79	4.25	0.96	4.33	0.77	4.50	1.00	4.17	0.92

and reflection to

168

204

4.11

Statewide 500 100.0% 0.2%

4.2%

21.2%

33.6%

40.8%

0.89

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

- 10. Develop curriculum to teach content standards effectively
- 11. Use effective instructional strategies to teach specific subject matter and skills
- 12. Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students
- 13. Expand expertise with evidencebased instructional and assistive technology to support student access to challenging content

		content	standards	effectivel	У	matter	and skills			matter a	accessible t	o all stud	lents	access t	o challengi	ng conter	nt
		Prog	gram	State	ewide	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide
		4	100.0%	473	94.6%	4	100.0%	472	94.4%	4	100.0%	473	94.6%	4	100.0%	473	94.6%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	34	7.2%	0	0.0%	22	4.7%	0	0.0%	27	5.7%	0	0.0%	28	5.9%
3 = Adequately		1	25.0%	107	22.6%	1	25.0%	92	19.5%	2	50.0%	76	16.1%	1	25.0%	101	21.4%
4 = Well		2	50.0%	161	34.0%	1	25.0%	156	33.1%	0	0.0%	165	34.9%	2	50.0%	163	34.5%
5 = Very well		1	25.0%	167	35.3%	2	50.0%	198	41.9%	2	50.0%	203	42.9%	1	25.0%	181	38.3%
	Mean : SD	4.00	0.82	3.96	0.97	4.25	0.96	4.11	0.93	4.00	1.15	4.14	0.92	4.00	0.82	4.05	0.91

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

Date: 9/17/2015

14. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

15. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

16. Develop IFSP/IEP goals and objectives that are measurable and obtainable

	develop	oment			the learr	ning needs	of all stu	dents	obtainal	ole		
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	yram .	Statewide		Pro	gram	State	wide
	4	100.0%	473	94.6%	4	100.0%	472	94.4%	4	100.0%	472	94.4%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	5	1.1%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	11	2.3%	0	0.0%	12	2.5%	0	0.0%	28	5.9%
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	85	18.0%	1	25.0%	95	20.1%	1	25.0%	96	20.3%
4 = Well	0	0.0%	146	30.9%	0	0.0%	150	31.8%	0	0.0%	130	27.5%
5 = Very well	3	75.0%	229	48.4%	3	75.0%	213	45.1%	3	75.0%	213	45.1%
Mean: S	D 4.50	1.00	4.25	0.86	4.50	1.00	4.19	0.87	4.50	1.00	4.10	0.99

17. Plan for instruction by incorporating all relevant IFSP/IEP information behavior and academic information

18. Ensure students with exceptionalities receive appropriate instruction and support within the

	informa	tion			least re	strictive en	vironmen ¹	t
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
	4	100.0%	473	94.6%	4	100.0%	473	94.6%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	5	1.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	32	6.8%	0	0.0%	10	2.1%
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	92	19.5%	1	25.0%	78	16.5%
4 = Well	1	25.0%	146	30.9%	0	0.0%	129	27.3%
5 = Very well	2	50.0%	198	41.9%	3	75.0%	254	53.7%
Mean : SD	4.25	0.96	4.06	0.99	4.50	1.00	4.32	0.85

^{**} Responses of "Don't Know" or variations on "N/A" are excluded from the percentage calculations.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

- 19. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate and modify instruction
- 20. Involve all students in selfassessment, goal setting, and monitoring progress
- 21. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning

		uniteren	itiate, and	inounly ins	oti uctioni	momitor	ing progres	55				tileli leari	ing
		Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
		4	100.0%	458	91.6%	4	100.0%	456	91.2%	4	100.0%	458	91.6%
1 = Not at a	l	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	14	3.1%	0	0.0%	30	6.6%	0	0.0%	17	3.7%
3 = Adequat	ely	1	25.0%	93	20.3%	1	25.0%	105	23.0%	1	25.0%	94	20.5%
4 = Well		1	25.0%	142	31.0%	2	50.0%	153	33.6%	1	25.0%	156	34.1%
5 = Very we	I	2	50.0%	207	45.2%	1	25.0%	165	36.2%	2	50.0%	190	41.5%
	Mean:	SD 4.25	0.96	4.17	0.89	4.00	0.82	3.98	0.96	4.25	0.96	4.13	0.88

- 22. Collect and utilize data to ensure educational benefit when aligning assessment data with goals and services within the least restrictive environment
- 23. Appropriately modify and accommodate state and local assessments based on students' learning and accessibility needs

	10	Cotifictiv	C CITVII OIII	iiciic		icuming	iculting and accessionic		cus
		Prog	ıram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
		4	100.0%	456	91.2%	4	100.0%	456	91.2%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	5	1.1%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	21	4.6%	0	0.0%	26	5.7%
3 = Adequately		1	25.0%	93	20.4%	1	25.0%	110	24.1%
4 = Well		1	25.0%	144	31.6%	2	50.0%	135	29.6%
5 = Very well		2	50.0%	197	43.2%	1	25.0%	180	39.5%
	Mean : SD	4.25	0.96	4.13	0.91	4.00	0.82	4.01	0.98

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation
program prepare you to do each of the
following as a teacher?

- 24. Evaluate the effects of your actions on student learning and
- 25. Work with families to better understand students and to support
- 26. Work with colleagues to
- 27. Provide a continuum of support for consultation, collaboration, coteaching to mentoring with multi or

Tollowing as a teacher:		plans accor	_	ana	their lea	rning	its and to	зарроге		instruction	_		-	ciplinary te	_	
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	ewide	Pro	gram	State	wide
	4	100.0%	457	91.4%	4	100.0%	456	91.2%	4	100.0%	457	91.4%	4	100.0%	456	91.2%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	12	2.6%	0	0.0%	23	5.0%	0	0.0%	15	3.3%	0	0.0%	18	3.9%
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	81	17.7%	1	25.0%	83	18.2%	1	25.0%	76	16.6%	1	25.0%	89	19.5%
4 = Well	1	25.0%	154	33.7%	0	0.0%	145	31.8%	0	0.0%	149	32.6%	0	0.0%	140	30.7%
5 = Very well	2	50.0%	209	45.7%	3	75.0%	202	44.3%	3	75.0%	215	47.0%	3	75.0%	206	45.2%
Mean : SD	4.25	0.96	4.22	0.84	4.50	1.00	4.14	0.93	4.50	1.00	4.23	0.87	4.50	1.00	4.16	0.92

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Content

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	accordin	ch my cont g to Califor standards	rnia acad	emic		tribute to s cluding com ect area			subject i	ole student matter skil success in er	ls that co	ntribute		cipate and students out		
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	wide
	4	100.0%	458	91.6%	4	100.0%	458	91.6%	4	100.0%	458	91.6%	4	100.0%	456	91.2%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	6	1.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.9%	0	0.0%	5	1.1%	1	25.0%	20	4.4%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	30	6.6%	0	0.0%	21	4.6%	0	0.0%	21	4.6%	0	0.0%	46	10.1%
3 = Adequately	1	25.0%	94	20.5%	1	25.0%	88	19.2%	1	25.0%	99	21.6%	2	50.0%	123	27.0%
4 = Well	0	0.0%	148	32.3%	1	25.0%	139	30.3%	1	25.0%	159	34.7%	0	0.0%	130	28.5%
5 = Very well	3	75.0%	180	39.3%	2	50.0%	206	45.0%	2	50.0%	174	38.0%	1	25.0%	137	30.0%
Mean : SD	4.50	1.00	4.02	0.99	4.25	0.96	4.14	0.94	4.25	0.96	4.04	0.94	3.00	1.63	3.70	1.13

Field Experiences

32. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation? (check all that apply):	Pro	gram	Statewide		
your preparations (check all that apply).	4	100.0%	445	89.0%	
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	4	100.0%	215	43.0%	
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	1	25.0%	243	48.6%	
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	0	0.0%	47	9.4%	

	33. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other	Pro	gram	Statewide				
	means about your teaching practice?	4	100.0%	447	89.4%			
1 =	Less than once per month	0	0.0%	14	3.1%			
2 =	Once per month	1	25.0%	39	8.7%			
3 =	Twice per month	0	0.0%	107	23.9%			
4 =	Once per week	0	0.0%	154	34.5%			
5 =	2-3 times per week	2	50.0%	109	24.4%			
6 =	Daily	1	25.0%	24	5.4%			
	Mean : SD	4.50	1.73	3.84	1.14			

	34. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical	Prog	gram	State	wide
	practice?	4	100.0%	446	89.2%
1 =	Once or twice	0	0.0%	17	3.8%
2 =	3-5 times	2	50.0%	87	19.5%
3 =	6-10 times	0	0.0%	164	36.8%
4 =	11-15 times	1	25.0%	80	17.9%
5 =	16-20 times	0	0.0%	52	11.7%
6 =	More than 20 times	1	25.0%	46	10.3%
	Mean : SD	3.50	1.91	3.45	1.31

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

35. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through	Pro	gram	Statewide				
program coursework.	4	100.0%	444	88.8%			
1 = Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	10	2.3%			
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%	9	2.0%			
3 = Neutral	0	0.0%	37	8.3%			
4 = Agree	2	50.0%	165	37.2%			
5 = Strongly Agree	2	50.0%	223	50.2%			
Mean : SD	4.50	0.58	4.31	0.88			

	. Approximately how much time did you and in student teaching (in the classroom of				
a c	ooperating teacher) as part of your	Pro	gram	State	ewide
sup	pervised fieldwork?	4	100.0%	445	89.0%
1 = Les	s than 100 hours	0	0.0%	16	6.1%
2 = 100	0 – 299 hours	0	0.0%	41	15.6%
3 = 300	0 – 599 hours	1	25.0%	105	40.1%
4 = 600	0 – 799 hours	1	25.0%	43	16.4%
5 = 800	0 hours or more	2	50.0%	57	21.8%
	This question does not apply to me because I did not do any student teaching. **	0	-	183	-
	Mean : SD	4.25	0.96	3.32	1.16

	39. If you served in an internship placement, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your	Pro	gram	State	ewide
	clinical practice?	3	75.0%	443	88.6%
1 =	Once or twice	0	0.0%	23	8.6%
2 =	3-5 times	0	0.0%	31	11.6%
3 =	6-10 times	0	0.0%	79	29.6%
4 =	11-15 times	0	0.0%	52	19.5%
5 =	16-20 times	0	0.0%	41	15.4%
6 =	More than 20 times	1	100.0%	41	15.4%
	Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	2	-	176	-
	Mean : SD	6.00	?	3.67	1.49

36. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that	Pro	gram	Statewide			
apply):	4	100.0%	433	86.6%		
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback	3	75.0%	310	62.0%		
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	3	75.0%	301	60.2%		
Modeled effective practices	4	100.0%	290	58.0%		
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	2	50.0%	267	53.4%		
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	3	75.0%	357	71.4%		
Helped me reflect on my practice	3	75.0%	339	67.8%		
Helped me to solve teaching problems	3	75.0%	305	61.0%		
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments	3	75.0%	338	67.6%		

38. Approximately how much time did you spend in an internship placement as	Prog	gram	Statewide				
teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?	4	100.0%	445	89.0%			
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	50.0%	6	2.2%			
2 = 100 - 299 hours	0	0.0%	8	3.0%			
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	50.0%	30	11.2%			
4 = 600 - 799 hours	0	0.0%	32	11.9%			
5 = 800 hours or more	0	0.0%	193	71.7%			
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	2	-	176	-			
Mean : SD	2.00	1.41	4.48	0.96			

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Content for Special Education: English Language Arts

40. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?	a) Learn skills	ways to te	each deco	ding	b) Learn ways to build student interest and motivation to read				c) Learn how to help students make predictions to improve comprehension				d) Learn how to support older students in learning to read			
	Prog	ıram	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		n Statewide	
	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	443	88.6%	4	100.0%	443	88.6%	4	100.0%	441	88.2%
1 = None	0	0.0%	18	4.1%	0	0.0%	7	1.6%	0	0.0%	15	3.4%	0	0.0%	38	8.6%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	25.0%	56	12.7%	1	25.0%	39	8.8%	1	25.0%	52	11.7%	1	25.0%	93	21.1%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	3	75.0%	130	29.4%	2	50.0%	115	26.0%	2	50.0%	122	27.5%	2	50.0%	98	22.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	0	0.0%	130	29.4%	0	0.0%	137	30.9%	1	25.0%	136	30.7%	0	0.0%	121	27.4%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	0	0.0%	108	24.4%	1	25.0%	145	32.7%	0	0.0%	118	26.6%	1	25.0%	91	20.6%
Mean : SD	2.75	0.50	3.57	1.11	3.25	1.26	3.84	1.03	3.00	0.82	3.65	1.10	3.25	1.26	3.30	1.25

	writing	n ways to to to students or reading a	at differe	_					aloud fo	n to an ind r the purpo reading ac	ose of ass	essing	h) Plan and teach a guided reading lesson			
	Program		Statewide		Program		State	Statewide		Program		wide	Program		Statewide	
	4	100.0%	441	88.2%	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	441	88.2%	4	100.0%	443	88.6%
1 = None	0	0.0%	14	3.2%	0	0.0%	6	1.4%	0	0.0%	28	6.3%	0	0.0%	29	6.5%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	25.0%	46	10.4%	0	0.0%	28	6.3%	0	0.0%	39	8.8%	0	0.0%	38	8.6%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	2	50.0%	97	22.0%	1	25.0%	95	21.5%	3	75.0%	96	21.8%	2	50.0%	95	21.4%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	0	0.0%	144	32.7%	1	25.0%	134	30.3%	0	0.0%	114	25.9%	1	25.0%	135	30.5%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	1	25.0%	140	31.7%	2	50.0%	179	40.5%	1	25.0%	164	37.2%	1	25.0%	146	33.0%
Mean : SD	3 25	1 26	3 79	1 10	4 25	0.96	4 02	1 00	3 50	1.00	3 79	1 21	3 75	0.96	3 75	1 19

	,	i) Learn to teach students to organize their ideas prior to writing			results t	udent rea o address rove your	student n		 k) Practice what you learned a teaching reading in your field experiences 			
	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		wide
	4	100.0%	438	87.6%	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	441	88.2%
1 = None	0	0.0%	30	6.8%	0	0.0%	17	3.8%	0	0.0%	21	4.8%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	51	11.6%	0	0.0%	45	10.2%	0	0.0%	35	7.9%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	2	50.0%	124	28.3%	1	25.0%	81	18.3%	2	50.0%	86	19.5%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	25.0%	113	25.8%	2	50.0%	135	30.5%	2	50.0%	119	27.0%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	1	25.0%	120	27.4%	1	25.0%	164	37.1%	0	0.0%	180	40.8%
Mean : SD	3.75	0.96	3.55	1.20	4.00	0.82	3.87	1.14	3.50	0.58	3.91	1.16

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

40. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do	, ,	state stan /language			 m) Study, critique or adapt reading curriculum materials 				
each of the following? (continued)	Pro	Program		Statewide		gram	am State		
	4	100.0%	443	88.6%	4	100.0%	443	88.6%	
1 = None	0	0.0%	17	3.8%	0	0.0%	22	5.0%	
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	25.0%	51	11.5%	3	75.0%	49	11.1%	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	25.0%	97	21.9%	0	0.0%	123	27.8%	
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	25.0%	130	29.3%	0	0.0%	121	27.3%	
5 = Extensive Opportunity	1	25.0%	148	33.4%	1	25.0%	128	28.9%	
Mean : SD	3.50	1.29	3.77	1.14	2.75	1.50	3.64	1.15	

Date: 9/17/2015

Content for Special Education: Mathematics

41. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?	,	typical diff :h place val		tudents	b) Learn typical difficulties students have with fractions				 c) Use representations (e.g., geometric representation, graphs, number lines) to show explicitly why a procedure works 				d) Prove that a solution is valid or that a method works for all similar cases			
	Prog	gram	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	Program		Statewide	
	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	441	88.2%	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	440	88.0%
1 = None	2	50.0%	98	22.2%	1	25.0%	100	22.7%	1	25.0%	80	18.1%	1	25.0%	95	21.6%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	25.0%	72	16.3%	2	50.0%	72	16.3%	3	75.0%	72	16.3%	2	50.0%	79	18.0%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	0	0.0%	108	24.4%	0	0.0%	112	25.4%	0	0.0%	124	28.1%	0	0.0%	118	26.8%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	0	0.0%	83	18.8%	1	25.0%	75	17.0%	0	0.0%	75	17.0%	1	25.0%	74	16.8%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	1	25.0%	81	18.3%	0	0.0%	82	18.6%	0	0.0%	91	20.6%	0	0.0%	74	16.8%
Mean : SD	2.25	1.89	2.95	1.40	2.25	1.26	2.93	1.41	1.75	0.50	3.06	1.37	2.25	1.26	2.89	1.37

					 f) Learn how to facilitate math learning for students in small groups 			 g) Adapt math lessons for students with diverse needs and learning styles 			 h) Practice what you learned about teaching math in your field experience 					
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram .	State	wide
	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	4	100.0%	440	88.0%	4	100.0%	439	87.8%	4	100.0%	439	87.8%
1 = None	2	50.0%	58	13.1%	1	25.0%	43	9.8%	2	50.0%	36	8.2%	2	50.0%	42	9.6%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	25.0%	72	16.3%	1	25.0%	66	15.0%	1	25.0%	66	15.0%	0	0.0%	63	14.4%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	0	0.0%	111	25.1%	0	0.0%	104	23.6%	0	0.0%	98	22.3%	0	0.0%	94	21.4%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	25.0%	98	22.2%	2	50.0%	91	20.7%	1	25.0%	96	21.9%	2	50.0%	96	21.9%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	0	0.0%	103	23.3%	0	0.0%	136	30.9%	0	0.0%	143	32.6%	0	0.0%	144	32.8%
Mean : SD	2.00	1.41	3.26	1.33	2.75	1.50	3.48	1.33	2.00	1.41	3.56	1.30	2.50	1.73	3.54	1.33

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

41. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following? (continued)	, ,	national on	r state sta	andards	j) Review local district mathematics curriculum				
ronowing: (continued)	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Pro	gram	State	wide	
	4	100.0%	441	88.2%	4	100.0%	442	88.4%	
1 = None	1	25.0%	38	8.6%	2	50.0%	98	22.2%	
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	73	16.6%	2	50.0%	84	19.0%	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	2	50.0%	114	25.9%	0	0.0%	90	20.4%	
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	25.0%	91	20.6%	0	0.0%	76	17.2%	
5 = Extensive Opportunity	0	0.0%	125	28.3%	0	0.0%	94	21.3%	
Mean : SD	2.75	1.26	3,44	1.29	1.50	0.58	2.96	1.45	

Genera	al			
42. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become an education specialist?	Pro	gram		ewide
specialise.	4	100.0%	438	87.6%
1 = Not at all effective	0	0.0%	4	0.9%
2 = Somewhat effective	2	50.0%	59	13.5%
3 = Effective	2	50.0%	141	32.2%
4 = Very effective	0	0.0%	234	53.4%
Mean : SD	2.50	0.58	3.38	0.75

Demographics

Demographic section omitted as the number of survey responders is too small for reporting.

Date: 9/17/2015

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and % of Respondents				
Program:	11	4	36.4 %			
Statewide:	1666	500	30.01			

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.